

§ 52.794 Source surveillance.

(a) The requirements of 51.212 of this chapter are not met by the phrase “for more than a cumulative total of 15 minutes in a 24-hour period” contained in section 1 of APC-3 of the Indiana Air Pollution Control Regulations.

(b) [Reserved]

(c) 325 IAC 5-1 (October 6, 1980, submittal—§ 52.770(c)(53)) is disapproved for the Lake County sources specifically listed in Table 2 of 325 IAC 6-1-10.2 (§ 52.770(c)(57)); for pushing and quenching sources throughout the State (August 27, 1981, 325 IAC 11-3-2 (g) and (h)—§ 52.770(c)(42)); and for coke oven doors in Lake and Marion Counties (325 IAC 11-3-2(f)—§ 52.770(c)(42)). Applicability of this regulation to these sources is being disapproved because 325 IAC 5-1 does not meet the enforceability requirements of § 51.22 as it applies to these sources. Opacity limits in 325 IAC 6-1-10.2 and certain opacity limits in 325 IAC 11-3 supersede those in 325 IAC 5-1, and USEPA has previously disapproved these superseding regulations (§ 52.776 (j), (g), and (f), respectively).

[40 FR 50033, Oct. 28, 1975; 41 FR 3475, Jan. 23, 1976, as amended at 48 FR 55860, Dec. 16, 1983; 51 FR 40676, 40677, Nov. 7, 1986; 52 FR 3644, Feb. 5, 1987; 52 FR 23038, June 17, 1987]

§ 52.795 Control strategy: Sulfur dioxide.

(a) Revised APC-13 (December 5, 1974 submission) of Indiana’s Air Pollution Control regulations (sulfur dioxide emission limitation) is disapproved insofar as the provisions identified below will interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the suffix dioxide ambient air quality standards:

(1) The phrase “equivalent full load” in section 1(b)(2).

(2) The formula “ $E_p = 17.0 Q_m^{0.67}$ where $E_p = E_m \times Q_m$ ” in section 2(a).

(3) The phrase “Direct fired process operations” in sections 2(a), 3(c), 4(b), and 4(c).

(4) The modification of Q_m for non-Indiana coal as expressed in Section 2(a).

(b) The requirements of § 51.281 are not met by Warrick and Culley electrical generating stations enforcement orders which would revise the sulfur di-

oxide emission limitations for these two stations.

(c) The requirements of § 51.110(e) are not met by Wayne, Dearborn, Jefferson, Porter, and Warrick Counties.

(d)—(e) [Reserved]

[41 FR 35677, Aug. 24, 1976, as amended at 42 FR 34519, July 6, 1977; 47 FR 10825, Mar. 12, 1982; 47 FR 39168, Sept. 7, 1982; 49 FR 585, Jan. 5, 1984; 51 FR 40676, 40677, Nov. 7, 1986; 53 FR 1358, Jan. 19, 1988; 54 FR 2118, Jan. 19, 1989]

§ 52.796 Industrial continuous emission monitoring.

(a) APC-8, Appendix I 1.2.3, 3.3, and 6.0 are disapproved because they do not meet the requirements of 40 CFR 51.214.

(b)(1) The requirements of 40 CFR 51, Appendix P 3.3 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable implementation plan for the State of Indiana.

(2) APC-8 does not apply to any source scheduled for retirement by October 6, 1980, or within five years after the promulgation of continuous emission monitoring requirements for that source category in 40 CFR part 51, Appendix P 1.1, provided that adequate evidence and guarantees are provided that clearly show that the source will cease operations on or before such date.

[43 FR 26722, June 22, 1978, as amended at 51 FR 40677, Nov. 7, 1986]

§ 52.797 Control strategy: Lead.

Indiana’s control strategy for lead is approved except as noted below:

(a)—(b) [Reserved]

(c) On January 12, 1988, Indiana’s Office of Air Management (OAM), Indiana Department of Environmental Management, agreed to review all relevant hood designs and performance guidance to determine which criteria to use in determining ongoing compliance with the capture efficiency provisions in 326 IAC 15-1 for Quemetco, Inc., and Refined Metals. Because these efficiencies are closely related to equipment design, OAM believes that a review of the process and control equipment designs and operating parameters should provide the necessary determination of compliance. OAM will work with the